

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/743,112	KAMAKURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kristie Shingles	2141	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jonathan Backenstose, Atty 47,399.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 February 2007
**Time:** 4:00pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**


n/a

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner contacted Atty for authorization to amend the claim language in order to correct minor typographical informalities of the independent claims--claims 1-3 and 11-14. Atty granted Examiner's Amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)